

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,864	05/23/2001	Masahide Sato	204060US	3525
22850	7590 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1.17			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary		09/763,864	SATO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wit reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MI, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 January 2005.					
2a)⊠		)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>9-38</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,12,18-20</u> , Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9,10,13-17,21,23,25-28 and 3</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>22,24 and 29-32</u> is/are withdraw <u>33-37</u> is/are rejected.	n from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	·	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachmen	it(s)						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	)-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	)			

MC

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 9, 10, 13-17, 21, 23, 25-28, and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sapporo Breweries in view of Szlavko for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action. Claim 38 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for the same reasons.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 14, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

not

Applicant argues that the prior art chitosan gel is the same as the chitosan beads used by the present invention because the prior art teaches the yeast being embedded in the gel, rather than immobilized on the surface. However, the Applicant states, "there is no or little yeast on the surface" of the chitosan gel of the prior art and this leaves open the possibility that some yeast is present on the surface. Therefore, the prior art still reads on the claimed invention.

Applicant further argues that there is no suggestion in Szlavko to immobilize the yeast on a chitosan bead. However, Sapporo teaches this limitation as discussed

Art Unit: 1761

above. Szlavko is relied on to show that it was known to one of ordinary skill in the art to use non-flocculant yeast in beer and it would have been obvious to use non-flocculant yeast in the invention Sapporo because it produces higher amounts of tryptophol, which is a flavoring component of beer, as taught by Szlavko.

Applicant's arguments in regard to the scope of improvements by using non-flocculant yeast are irrelevant because, as shown above, it would have been obvious, in view of Szlavko, to utilize non-flocculant yeast in the invention of Sapporo.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 09/763,864

Art Unit: 1761

1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLK

Page 4